

ALAN WATT
ON
THE AMERICAN AWAKENING
WITH
MICHAEL HERZOG
(Alan Stands in for 2 hours for Michael)
July 13, 2007

**Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 13, 2007 (Exempting
Music, Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

I'm Alan Watt and I'm standing in for Michael Herzog today for a couple of hours. Some of you have heard me before on this station and others. I also have a website cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu. I do talk every week about not just what's happening in these present days, but how we got here. That's really important to know. I always stress the importance of how short each life is; and in our younger years, we're caught up in education and school. We're caught up with "hormonal driving," as I call it; and then we're into work and either marriage or careers or both, and we tend to almost wake up partway through and wonder what's happening to the world we live in. We suddenly become awake and aware, too, of drastic changes that are happening, often by intuition. We're not certain what these changes are. We just feel that there are big powers at play.

Today it's harder to get the information as to how these powers that are at play and are changing our world around us, as we live, began and who they are and their history; and never mind that you'll find that if you go into your parents or your grandparents history, or your great grandparents histories, they keep editing history for every generation. Big chunks of it are just simply taken out.

To find out what really been happening we have to go far back in history just to get the basics of an economic system. An economic system that created hierarchies and family dynasties who could then use money and taxation and create armies, which they sent off to war on peoples who often didn't use money, tribal peoples and rural peoples—people who were truly independent of any system. They'd conquer them and force a system on them, and then tax them back after introducing their coinage or the money, whatever it happened to be. With that taxation, they could employ the best thinkers to do nothing but sit and discuss and think. These thinkers became philosophers and advisers to kings and queens.

Today we have the same situation; only since the advent of Parliaments and Congresses, we have huge think tanks, which specialize on different aspects of society. Their prime importance is to always maintain a dominant minority in power generation after generation. To do this they know the changes they will

bring about, because they do have plans and the world is run like one huge business plan. They take every aspect of the changes they're going bring about and look at the possible reactions from the people and find ways to offset them. Back in a minute.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt filling in for Michael Herzog today for a couple of hours. I'm going over some of the histories that have led up to this present situation where people are shocked to see what they thought was their system drastically changing, more by intuition, by little clips in newspapers, little bits and bites of sound is fed to them. Never a complete picture of what's happened or where it's going, so it gives them a nervous feeling to know what they thought was their countries, their systems are actually being altered by powers unseen. They're right with the last part, because the people who run governments do what they're told, like Professor Carroll Quigley did a pretty good description of how this power elite work in "**Tragedy & Hope**." He was well known for picking Rhodes Scholars for a world governorship. He picked Bill Clinton and others and high-level bureaucrats now in the U.S. Federal Government, he amongst others.

He was also the official historian for the Council on Foreign Relations, which is just the American branch of The Royal Institute for International Affairs. The Royal Institute for International Affairs is one of the biggest players in this. They came out of the Cecil Rhodes Foundation, which merged with the Alfred Milner Foundation, both really fronts for the British establishment elite. Their purpose was to takeover the world initially and its resources: its minerals, oil, diamonds, gold, all of that kind of thing. Then they were to specialize in sub-branches, which would deal with pushing social agendas worldwide through various countries, sometimes altering the agendas according to the culture, but always to bring them to the same point at the same time. That's why the whole world is going along the same path and we're all at the same stages basically worldwide, except for the Middle East. The last bastion to this standardization system where the whole world is to be standardized under one system, scientifically controlled.

The Royal Institute for International Affairs spawned its non-British Commonwealth branches and called them Council on Foreign Relations. They also have a branch in the U.S., which is based in New York with a sub-branch, which dealt with Pacific Rim (Region) relations. Their job was to bring a form of communism into the Far East and then guide it into democracy, and then make them the manufacturers for the whole world, for the whole planet; and that's been accomplished. They wrote about this 100 years ago in their own books (members of this organization).

These characters according to Quigley, and he was one of them (he was the official historian for them), admitted they were behind the creation of wars. They were behind the panics they would set off prior to wars beginning, to get the populace behind them in preparation for the wars, so the public would demand they'd actually go to war. They did this in England in the 1930's. The Royal Institute for International Affairs met together. The top newspaper owners were all part of it, and they agreed to terrify the wits out of the British populace by giving them false information about Germany's intentions towards Britain. They told the British people every day for two or three years they were going to be gassed by millions of tons of gases that Hitler had stored up. Quigley admitted it was all fabricated lies. However, to make sure the public didn't know this, they gave them all gas

masks and made them go through air raid drills and put on these masks. Anything to terrify them so the public would get to a breaking stage and say, "do something about this guy over in Germany," and that's the techniques they will use. *The end justifies the means* and these characters have no basic empathy as we think of it. We are just pawns in their big game, and terrifying the public to get wars started is a typical strategy all down through the ages.

You'll find they also decided that democracy (as we know it) would have to be short lived. They thought that there were too many conflicting groups and parties in the world to ever have a stable society; and therefore they would have to have para-governmental organizations—organizations that were not responsible to the voting public of any country. They set up these huge foundations, which would then be interlinked with the secret services such as CIA and MI6 and the Mossad; and at the very top of this interlinked pyramid they'd all be joined together, all of these secret service organizations; and that's what they did long ago. This was really cemented into their own laws when they signed the NATO charter, the Atlantic Charter, actually back at the end of World War II; and that became the foundation for the United Nations Charter, right from the Atlantic Charter. People should read it because that's the new constitution for the world; and believe you me, under this system that's coming in now, there are to be no individual rights at the end of it. It's a collectivist society.

One of the foundations that specializes in propaganda to the public is called The Club of Rome, joined at the hip with all the other foundations such as the Rockefeller Foundation. The Club of Rome wrote in their own book called "***The First Global Revolution***". They wrote in the book that they'd have to basically destroy democracy because of the conflicting sides. It was too slow to get things done when people were arguing, and therefore an intellectual elite would have to have the right to guide planet earth on its destiny.

They also said they'd have to unite the world through a terrible fear, and they talked about ideas of alien invasions. Could they make the people believe it, for instance? Then they said no it could be difficult, even if they could fund all the science fiction programs to try and convince us that it's possible. Then they hit upon the idea of finding the weather as being the big problem; and if they could make man believe that the weather was in danger of killing us because we were causing global warming, they would have to unite to fight it; and in uniting, we'd have to give up all of our rights to a scientific elite. This is in their own book. This think tank basically dishes out all of these plans and agendas to all the science magazines that you read. They dish out these agendas to all the documentaries you watch and get brainwashed by. That's how simple it is to condition whole populations along this course. This is an agenda which is to be a totalitarian society.

You'll notice that even during the period where a welfare state in the West was created, it was in response supposedly to the Soviet system, which dealt on a social basis for the people. In other words, you get something back for the taxes you've paid for a change. During that period, they socialized the West. They emulated what was supposedly was their enemy so that the people would say, "we don't need unions. We don't need anyone to speak for our rights because the government is doing it all for us. We have these social safety nets." If you look towards the end of the Soviet era, as it was coming down, they started to take

away these same rights they'd given you. Those who begun in the early 1900's with the unions knew that if they ever failed, you'd have the fascist dictatorship at the end of the road once they pulled all these safety nets out from underneath you. That's been happening. They don't need to pretend anymore. They don't need to quail dissention amongst their own peoples. That's why, for instance, they're also taking away all of the goodies, the freebies they gave to employees such as drugs benefits and health care benefits. Now you have to pay it all yourself and the costs keep going up and up.

Carroll Quigley in his books "***The Anglo American Establishment***" and "***Tragedy & Hope***" said "the new system we're bringing in is a feudal system. A new feudal system where the CEOs of huge international corporations will be the new overlords and they will intermesh with the politicians who will dictate to the people." That's where we've arrived at today. A global structure with the United Nations being the front and the public don't know really what's happening.

The United States especially has been kept in the dark with their own history. The present generation isn't taught about how their grandparents and great grandparents lived and how they struggled to get even a decent living wage—very, very difficult. America today you'd think started with "*Leave it to Beaver*" and "*The Waltons*." There's nothing before it. They don't know that for every wage increase they got, there were often riots to try and get them. They don't know that the great benefactor of the Rockefeller Foundation, after old Rockefeller, actually had strikes and all miners machine gunned down in the U.S. in Pennsylvania (and their families who were all living in tents). They brought in great thinkers and speakers for public relations to change the Rockefeller image from being a tyrant to being a benefactor.

You can read about these actual occurrences in many books put out on the Rockefellers. One of the best ones that I have read is actually by **Peter Collier** and **David Horowitz** called "***The Rockefellers: An American Dynasty***." You'll see how PR agents (professional PR agents) were brought in. One of them is from the Gates family, very famous name as we know. The Gates family were specialists in resolving strikes and resolving conflicts. Mainly they were specialists in getting new images to people who became benefactors of society and great philanthropists; and within about a year, he and the guy who eventually was made Prime Minister of Canada, McKenzie King, created a brand new image for Rockefeller; and this is the one we have today.

The Rockefellers give out money to thousands of non-governmental organizations every year. These non-governmental organizations are the new Soviet for the world that will speak for you without your consent. They're unelected and their boss is the same boss: old Rockefeller, who gives out World Citizenship Awards and has for the last 50 years. Back in a minute.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and I'm standing for Michael Herzog. I'm sitting actually and I think I'll sit through this one. I was talking about the great foundations and how they fund all of the big organizations which do all our speaking for us and we take it for granted. They fund all these huge organizations like the World Wildlife Fund and many other ones that you hear about on a regular basis. The ones that demand that we have laws passed about pollution, about ways of farming and many, many other things. This is based on the Soviet model, because the big

foundations agreed that the Soviet structure of collectivism was a good way to manage vast amounts of people and make them obey.

However, this system, as I've said before, would have a fascist elite at the top above the bureaucracy. The bureaucracy would run the bulk of the people on a Communistic type basis and this has already happened. Those who are living today were born into it and didn't know it. They didn't know it because the word Communism was never used. We hear about changes in new laws and terms for these laws and so on, but these are actually Communistic terms were used they're using today. Even the word "Soviet" meant government basically by councils, and that's what we have. On the boards of the big foundations, we have councils. We have non-governmental organizations all affiliated with the big foundations that fund them. These are not little grass roots organizations that go round your houses collecting money in a tin can. They have salaries, pension schemes and buildings with many floors and computers; and they are doing all your speaking for you, and we sit back and we hear them and we approve or disapprove. Most people don't even think about it. They take it for granted.

The heads of these organizations that are bought and paid for are also allowed into the big meetings, when they sign the NAFTA and the GATT treaties and the Summit of the Americas and all the amalgamations for the amalgamation of America meetings that they have one or two every year. No one votes these NGO people in; and this is what they still call democracy. See, democracy keeps changing its meaning.

In the 1700's, democracy was a bunch in Europe of nobility under kings and queens, generally in Europe, who served themselves being the nobility, the wealthy elite. The rest of the people were simply commoners, because the commoners married in common. They didn't select their mate through special breeding and choices and genealogies like the nobility did. The nobility intermarry each other to keep their money together. To keep the right genes or right breeding, as they call it, together; and everyone else below them is inferior and a commoner. Commoners never really had any rights. They had titular rights, meaning they could say, "we'll let this guy go or we'll kill him." That was really how it worked in the 1700's.

It gradually evolved into a show government, especially in Britain after the Chartist Movement when thousands and thousands of people marched to have rights for the individuals amongst the commoners for a change. We've got a show government. We still had what we called a House of Lords, which were hereditary nobilities, simply to copy the titles from their dads who had to approve all laws; and it's still the same today there. Once in a while, if a person who served the system well is elevated from the House of Commons (which is basically the Congress) to the House of Lords (which is the Senate). The U.S. that thinks it's so different—really isn't so different at all, if you go into the histories and the genealogies of the people who get into the Senate; and that's the big joke. It's really the same system with different terminology.

People in the U.S. think that they fought a war to get some kind of independence; and then they sat back on their laurels and thought, "that's it. Our governments will do it all for us," and nothing was further from the truth, because the big powerful elite of Europe don't sit back and give up. The whole world as they knew,

since they've known for thousands of years, ran on a system called money and banking and loans. It was very simple to get the United States into debt very quickly, which they did. Then they sent their own representatives over from London and Paris to finance the debt, and the United States became, really, not only an appendage of the British Enterprise of global domination for a world commonwealth, but it also is to be the spearhead and the engine that would drive it. Back in a minute.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt standing in for Michael Herzog for the next hour and a half or so. I'm going through the histories of some of the big events, the big organizations that brought us to this present stage of going into globalism, in a new basically sovietized society run by the small dominant minority, the fascist elite.

You can read about the techniques that Plato talked about 2,300 years ago that would bring about this utopia, as the aristocracy of his own day called it a utopia, and he called it "**The Republic**." A World Republic is really what he talked about where the guardian class, those who had proven their ability to control and dominate the lesser "ITS," as they called the common people in Greece. The ITS would be dominated by this bunch who had proven their cunningness and ability to accumulate wealth, and hold on to that wealth down through generations. This guardian class would simply hire huge armies and literally, eventually towards the final stages, they would bring in females into the military at the final stages, which we've seen already. Then they would breed the females with the males within the military to create a special military class to dominate the rest. That's already happening in many circumstances. That's where often they meet their spouses, in the military, and the children are brought up on army bases and they think it's quite natural to go right into the military. They have a different education, a different outlook and they see themselves as special and different from the general population.

All written about 2,300 years ago. If you read the big players of the modern era, each one will always mention Plato's Republic as being one of their favorite books to read.

Why is that?

It's because it is a blueprint for a world system, where they even talked about eventually allying their fears of the masses—who are always threatening to rise up and revolt—and they delay it by specially breeding the masses and creating different types of specialized humans. This is back long before we hear of genetic engineering or anything like that. In Plato's day, they thought they could breed them simply like you breed animals. If you want traits bred in, you get a woman to mate up with a man with similar traits and then they keep inbreeding them until you had the perfect specimen for the job at hand—"purpose-made humans," in other words.

H.G. Wells, another writer for this power elite and who wrote many non-fiction books about it, along with his fictional books about the future. He himself was an admirer of Plato and went through the agenda himself. He was a propagandist for the elite. He wrote the big slogans used in the major world wars, like: "The War to End All Wars." An idealistic slogan that made the general public go off to war,

thinking when it was all over they'd have social justice for the first time. "Ha, ha" on them. They always fall for beautiful speeches and we never seem to learn, do we?

Yet, the agenda is an old agenda. Why is it always the same agenda of total domination of the individual? Why is it always the same particular agenda?

It's because the world with its system of power and money is to do with the type of personality we call a "psychopath". The psychopaths at one time were thought to be fairly rare and mainly amongst the lower classes. It was the lower class ones that were studied because they'd end up in prisons for smashing windows and stealing jewelry. It never dawned on psychiatrists until about the mid 1940's that this trait of power and those who seek after power and want instant rewards and gratification could possibly be very successful, until they went in and looked and did studies on them—and found that most politicians are actually psychopaths. They crave power beyond the average person. The average person wants to get married, have his job, come home to his wife and children, and do all the right things. The psychopath would never find that fulfilling. He wants much, much more. He wants admiration and applause. He wants to go down in history and be famous. Therefore, they gravitate towards power; and whoever stands in their way they will take down as fast as they can. The clever ones manage to tear down many people and climb over them without getting into trouble; and then they're called successful.

If you look at most of the big families—in fact, even in the U.S., the hereditary families in the Congress and in the Senate—you'll find that's how they all made their start. We find the Kennedy's for instance worked with the Bronfman's during the depression years, when they had prohibition and they ran drugs and alcohol first from Canada to the U.S. Then when they stopped at the U.S. and put prohibition in Canada, they reversed the flow from the U.S. to Canada. That's how they made their fortunes. Once they got up there they became incredibly famous, then in the next generation they become "respectable," and that's how all people get up to the top. You can't do it by honest means because at the top they close ranks. They decide who gets up and who doesn't. That's how strictly the world is controlled. For them to allow anyone who will try and get up there means they might lose control. They might not have control over that individual or even the products they sell, if it was high technology, and that's why they themselves decide what technology will be made and made available to the public. They're one big club. You might even say they're one big corporation, which does the manufacturing for everything, especially in the high-tech side of things.

CIA employees are interwoven with these corporations. When you see how many are interwoven with the big corporations, you realize it's all the same corporation. You'll find the VeriChip that they want to chip everyone with for identification purposes is really owned by the CIA. It's owned by black budget departments, because if you want to decide where the world is going, someone coming along with a true independent technology would spoil all your plans if they sold it to the public; so therefore you must decide what is to be made available to the public and what isn't. That was decided during the Cold War, when they kept saying and the battle cry was that the higher science will win this war. That's when MI6 and the Mossad and we find the CIA all got involved in creating front corporations and funding them into existence, to control technology so they could not have any

independent rogue technology made available to the public; and it's been like that for quite some time.

That's also why you'll find they've standardized the computer industry. If there was independent competition, why isn't there one computer way ahead of everyone else with all of its programs and so on?

Why is it, and how is it, Mr. Gates—of the same family lineage that I mentioned earlier that helped to do the PR promotions for old Rockefeller back in the early 1900's—why is it that he was given authority and doors were opened for him, and everyone else was pushed aside, to make sure we have a world system through Windows Microsoft?

It's because it's arranged that way. We must all be on the same system. Why's that?

--Because we're all to be monitored by the computers that you use. It's already happening. Most folk don't mind because folk are so socialized today. They don't mind being monitored and watched. Many people actually admit that that they have nothing to hide; and that's not the point of it. Their parents or grandparents would have natural indignation if someone wanted to know all their business. Today's people have been so temporarily spoiled that they don't mind. They like to play a lot. They've been made into children because that's what socialism is. It's the world of a perpetual child who doesn't want to grow up and take responsibility, stand on their own two feet and try and make a go of it, and be independent.

Many people, it was predicted long ago, would love socialism. They would love a world where these experts above them, whom they never meet personally, would take care of all the major decisions for them, so they wouldn't have to worry their pretty little heads. They could go out and play. Just work and play and that's the temporary set-up we have; and it is temporary, because when the next phase comes in, that will be gone. The next phase is planned fairly soon, or as soon at least as the United States finishes off its purpose. A purpose that it was designed to do, and that's to finish off the Middle East, standardize the system for world democracy; and then the U.S. is to collapse financially, economically at the end of it. It's already happening. We're being kept afloat by a service economy.

When the service economy was discussed at the European Union for Britain and other countries, the top economists admitted it's like putting a dog in water and he's doing the dog paddle. He can only paddle as long as your strength keeps up. Eventually you go under. If you don't produce items in this economic system, which is not our system, by the way, it's theirs. If you don't produce items, you only pass goods around for so long before you go down, because the debt will always accumulate more and you have no production to pay that debt off. This is all out in the open; and yet the socialized immature public think that their credit cards, their present way of life is going to go on forever because the men above them, the big business suits that you see on television and all the experts that smile to them and make reassuring sounds are going to take care of them. Big brother is truly, truly here and big brother is worshiped and admired by most of the people. We're in for a big shock.

I've previously mentioned **Jacques Attali** who was the main adviser to presidents

in France to do with the European Union. He was really above Francois Mitterrand, the High Freemason, who, like Pierre Trudeau, had the single rose put on his coffin as a sign of his international socialism and other esoteric things. Jacques Attali wrote a book called "**Millennium**" after being at top-level meetings at the United Nations. It was to do with the future of the United States, and he says, "*The time is coming when the borders will be brought down.*" This was in 1990 the book came out. He said, "*There will be floods coming in from Latin America.*" He says, "*It will be akin to the hordes of barbarians attacking Rome bringing about the final fall of Rome.*" He said, "*people will flee northwards trying to escape,*" because when cultures clash like that, when a completely different culture comes together there's tremendous conflict, even if the people themselves are peaceable, the differences in their culture *en masse* that is as opposed to small immigration. *En masse* is always cultural clash and problems breakout in a big way.

They also have their rogue elements within Latin America, the crooks who will come up looking at the promised land and easy prey. He said there will be armed bands coming up from Latin America eventually and just raping and pillaging down through the southern states. This is all talked and debated about at great think tanks at the United Nations level. It's in his book, read it, "**Millennium**" by Jacques Attali. He said, "*at that time the economy of the United States will be going down and the next boat people will be Americans leaving America looking for work abroad.*"

When you look further back towards the plans even made in the 1800's to do with the Union of Europe, Karl Marx who was just a failed journalist picked up by the Rothschild family in London and told to write the *Communist Manifesto*, which was just the flip side of capitalism; because there's always two sides, the dialectic to create the third synthesis. Really, your goal is the synthesis, and Marx wrote about the unification of the world with three trading blocks. A United Europe first, followed by a United Americas and then a Pacific Rim conglomerate under a World Super Parliament.

This is not a new idea. It's a very old one and it's not just an idea. It's a business plan. We have been living through a business plan. Our parents were. Our grandparents were and they didn't know it, because we think in short term projects to do with our own small lifespan. These characters who work for big think tanks work in hundreds of years, and each intake knows they will often work their whole lives towards the same agenda and do their little part in it and never see the fruition -- never see the ending. Never reap the rewards to see the fulfillment, but they do their part and the next young fellow comes in and takes over. They've been at this for a long time. Read the histories of Britain and the British Diplomatic Service, and read about their training that they got since the 1500's onwards, because they were trained in this very thing that I'm talking about—long range intergenerational projects to globalize the world.

John Dee, who worked as an adviser at Queen Elizabeth I. court, wrote about it extensively. He coined the term "*the British Empire*" and he gave the proposal to the Queen as to how it should be done. He said, "*Each country that comes in to this amalgamation will adopt the British system of democracy.*" He also said "*they would be given special trading rights and privileges.*" He said, "*Certain countries will be given most favored nation trading status.*"

Now I'm using this term here today and I've only heard it for the last few years, but it was actually coined in the 1500's; and you think you're just going through some sort of evolution right now where we stumble from one year to the next? There's nothing further from the truth. It's designed to go this way; even the Soviet system according to Lenin himself said it would not last forever. The next phase would be neither quite capitalist, and neither quite communist. It would spread out into the world because they were part of a scheme to dominate and alter the public of Europe, socialize them in preparation for an amalgamation that would come along and fit this pretty system, which would also be a socialistic one and run on those principles. I can hear the music starting again, so I'll be back in a minute.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt standing in for Michael Herzog for the next hour and maybe ten minutes or so. I'm trying to show you the continuity of a very old plan, which is backed by the highest finances on the planet and has some of the oldest families of Europe at the top that have been guiding us to where we are today, with all of their major think tanks that work for them. Think tanks which implement agendas and they take problems and they figure out ways to combat all the social retaliation they would find when they implement each part of their plan. They even create leaders you know. Before the people even need the particular leader, they'll train someone, knowing eventually the public will need them. They train them in advance and present them to the public, who always says all the right things and then always ends up compromising and compromising until you're back at square one. Again, it's a very, very old technique, which has been used in the training of the British Diplomatic Corps. They also trained the highest and best and well educated provocateurs. Nothing really changes. Why change something when it works, all the time, every time?

In the early 1900's, they knew they'd have to give basic education to the general populations, to get them to work in the factories they're going to put up, and they gave them a very basic education, very minimal. They also knew that from the general population they could take the brighter ones from universities and give them scholarships and bring them into work on their side. That way, you see, people would not go through life and be very, very bright and maybe become a possible problem to them down the road, so they recruited them. They always say *keep your enemies closer than your friends* in the high circles. Therefore, they'd recruit them, they paid them well, and they'd bring them under their wing. It's tremendously easy to pay people off. Buy them in this world system, especially the psychopathic types that have no real allegiance to anyone except themselves.

They understand the elite and they will go and work for them. They have no qualms about moral decisions of any kind. It's the same type they employ in the higher branches of the CIA, and CSIS for Canada, and MI6, or the Special Air Service. They're psychopathic types and they're actually given psychological examinations to make sure they are, because the characters at the top will only trust their own kind. They understand each other and they will obey and do anything they are told for money.

The educational system, as I say, was so important. Stalin and Lenin talked about it, as they didn't do in the west. John Dewey was sent to the U.S. to set up the same basic system with the intent to give the children a specific indoctrination. A

scientifically designed indoctrination so that they would disregard any input which their parents gave them on any moral type basis. They wanted to split the family unit and they've been very, very successful with that. Read the books by Lord Bertrand Russell, "**Roads to Freedom**" and "**Education and the Good Life**" to see how it was done. Back in a moment.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and I'm standing in for Michael Herzog for the next one hour to go. I generally do my own talks on my blurbs. I call them "blurbs." Some people have blogs. I talk blurbs. My sites are called cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu. I go through lots of this history and you can download them for free from the sites. I go through the history in fair detail covering a broad spectrum of time and I show you how this ties together. It's not a conspiracy theory. It's fact, because I give you the writings and I read from the books written by the big players themselves, those who helped implement each stage of the plan. These books are generally available in your local libraries, but they gather dust because they're not terribly exciting. In fact, they're awfully boring, a lot of them, and sometimes you have to wade through hundreds of pages to find a startling paragraph with an admission of something; but when you do find them, it's like finding gold and your mind truly wakes up.

I've done a lot of study over the years to try and make sense and piece this together, only to find, to be honest with you, the big boys themselves are beginning to boast that they were behind it themselves. The Council on Foreign Relations has come out in 2005 as The Council on Foreign Relations as a panel representing themselves on national television for the first time, when they were doing the first official signing of the integration of the Americas in 2005, the president and two prime ministers. They admitted that they were behind it and that they'd drafted the whole thing up themselves. This non-governmental organization called The Council on Foreign Relations had done all the work.

Who voted them into power over us?

Nobody did. We have a parallel government at work that works for guys bigger than themselves. They get funded again from the big foundations. Ford, Carnegie, Rockefeller et cetera which are all part and parcel with your secret services like the CIA, and always have been. Check into my sites and feel free to learn as much as you want about the different parts of this agenda; and it's a massive spider web all connected together. They love the name "web". That's why they give you "Webster's Dictionary" or the Internet or the Web. See, it's all connected together. We the public are like the hub of an old wagon wheel, and when we look around us, we have a spoke coming off. Each spoke represents part of your society and how it's planned, and we're surrounded by all of these groups and think tanks and agencies.

We're at the time that Lenin talked about. He said in the early 1900's "*the time would come in the West when they'll be so many bureaucratic departments and governmental agencies that the general public would be unable to move.*" In fact, he said, "*These agencies would be so superfluous they would be stepping on each others toes and territories.*" That's already here. We're overruled by so many laws we can hardly move. The United States has got more laws on the books now to do with anti-terrorism, which is now always expanding even into speech, that if they implement them, even a quarter of them, they'll make the Stalinist era or the

Hitler regime look like Boy Scouts. It will be hell on earth and we have to speak out now and stop it. Everyone has to start speaking out now. Don't let anyone else do it for you. Back in a moment.

Hi folks. I'm back again. I'm Alan Watt standing in for Michael Herzog today. I've just been informed there's a man on the line called Ted. Is Ted there?

Ted: Yes, this is Ted. You have come very close to touching on a subject that I am calling about, and that is the term socialism. Mikhail Gorbachev said in one of his books that the system in Russia where they depended on the proletariat, the common people as a basis for their rule, was really only one form of socialism and that there was a high form of socialism that they expected to go to. Gorbachev said even Lenin before he died was cognizant of this.

Alan: That's right.

Ted: I wondered if you would explain, clarify that for me if you would. Also I'd like to make a comment that the people like ourselves, who are considered extremists, we speak in terms of what we might call the unsavory truth. It's interesting that despite the manipulations of the others, who call us extremists and so forth, the people at the top, the people behind the curtain also speak in terms of this same unsavory truth. That's a theory that I have and I'd like you to comment on that also.

Alan: Yeah, it's actually true. What you have are different definitions of socialism. It all comes from the Darwinist theories, again born in England from the Darwin family, a front for these elite groups. Darwin didn't come up with this theory of evolution, but out of it came what they call "social Darwinism," an idea of a perfect society where intellectual elites and scientists would work for the dominant minority; those who control present power and finances and wealth and so on and commerce and run the people beneath them more efficiently. The Soviet Union really was built on that idea of social Darwinism; and inevitability, of course, from the very beginning, they were elitists themselves at the top of the Soviet Union. They believed that those at the top were the most highly evolved and therefore had the right to dominate those beneath them. Arrogance sets in and corruption sets in pretty quickly, and they end up being much the same as the west, a mirror image in a sense.

The only difference being like George Orwell said in "*Animal Farm*" when the pigs eventually met with the old farmers and joined them, the farmers said to the pigs, "your communist system is better. We like it because it's more efficient for controlling the masses than ours." You see that's why the elite in the West adopted what is now the Soviet system. Yes, Lenin did talk about how long this would last, the Soviet dictatorship would actually last. He said towards the end of the millennium they will bring it down and then it will move and blend in with the West, because it was all part of the same plan from the West—a dialectical technique. The Soviets amalgamated many, many small countries into a huge Soviet Union, standardized them all, many languages and tongues and systems. Standardized them all and primarily gave them the same education. Education is very important to standardize peoples across the world. Today, UNICEF is doing the same thing in the Middle East, in Iraq for instance.

The Soviet system was also designed to make people beneath the politburo and beneath the bureaucracies, they were trained not to do too much thinking about the higher matters of life, to leave it to the experts. This same technique was being implemented in the West by big foundations that Bertrand Russell belonged to. He was a British Lord and he said the same thing that *"the public shortly will have to ask an expert on how to change the diaper on a baby because they won't know how to do it without advice."* That's happened. Socialism is perpetual childhood. Gorbachev himself was sent out at the end and he gave a speech before he left as President of the Soviet Union to the politburo. I have the speech somewhere here, and also to paraphrase it.

He says, *"my friend, don't believe when you hear that this is the end of Communism."* He said, *"we are simply moving into the next stage that was planned long ago"* and that was written and published in all Western media at the time. Everyone has forgotten about it. Eric Margolis in **"The Toronto Sun"** did the whole write-up if you want to go and check the archives for **"The Toronto Sun"**, Eric Margolis writing about Gorbachev because the entire speech is in there.

If you notice, towards the end, Mikhail Gorbachev was escorted around the world and arm-in-arm by Margaret Thatcher, the Prime Minister of Britain, supposed archenemy. The reporters across the world all agreed to interview Gorbachev and his wife, and never to ask a question on politics or the negative side of the Soviet system. There's your collusion of the media doing what they're told, quite happily, to fool the Western people as to what was really happening. It was always intended that the big experiment -- the U.S. you see was called the Great Experiment, and then the second one was the Soviet system. It was the blending of the two systems together by the same dominant minority at the top with a collectivist bureaucracy running the rest of the world. That's what we're coming into now worldwide. Yeah, so you've got it right.

Ted: The proletariat -- the purpose served by the proletariat under Russian Communism that Gorbachev said was unstable that they were inefficient and so forth, and I wanted to ask you which forces -- which groups are now under this new stage of socialism? Which groups are substituting for the proletariat? In other words, which groups have they shifted to away from the proletariat?

Alan: They've gone into really what they call "technocrats" now. The technocrats are the new expert electronic technician type experts who run all information. Those are the ones that are now working in that position over what used to be called the proletariat. Even then, in the former Soviet Union the big people who have risen up as the big magnates, already with millions of dollars in enterprise, were all specially picked and allowed to rise to the positions they're in. The few that were not authorized to do so have already been put in prison. You can't get up into any system, East or West, unless you're authorized to come up. They let you in. You must be "one of their men." They don't allow independent players in this world system. It's a big club at the top. What happened, too, in the Soviet Union, is from the 1950's onwards they began to give extra rewards to bureaucrats and to the politburo, because they couldn't get them to be efficient. That was the beginning of the emulation of the capitalist system into the Soviet. The only way they could get extra money was to withhold monies to the people down below, so the people below got poorer and poorer while you end up with basically a middle class in the Soviet Union. That led to its downfall as well.

Ted: Do you think the stockholders – one of the main functions of the proletariat was a political function. In other words, the socialist depended upon the proletariat for their political support. Now in this new stage of socialism, which Gorbachev recognized and which I believe you recognize, and I do to for that matter, the stockholders are one of the political groups that they depend upon for their political support. Isn't that true?

Alan: Yes. It's very much the same worldwide now. You'll find the same in the West that even these huge unions that are still left invest their monies into these big corporations, which are global international corporations, and they tell the members still what to vote. Yes, they're still used for that purpose. It's almost like looking at the American -- what's left of the working class and the middle class. Their minds are not their own. They're still trying to work in an old system which has moved on and they don't realize that their whole procedure of politics is broken. You can't go back. You can't fix something that's this far gone; and it was gone a long, long time ago. It's not a recent thing; and yet they're still going like robots that are programmed. They still go ahead and keep this voting farce up. I keep telling people if you want to find out who really runs the world, everybody stop voting—because it won't fall apart. You'll be surprised to see. It will continue again and they'll have to come forward. Voting is a form of us giving our consent to people to rule us as they see fit. That's the only right you have under what they call democracy. I'm talking about democracy of all the signatory countries of the United Nations, including the United States. You gave up your sovereignty when they signed that charter. Everyone who signed that knew that at the time. I have all the books here from the participants and the signatories that wrote books at the time and I have them all here.

Ted: Thank you very much, Alan. I enjoy your program.

Alan: Goodbye.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and I'm filling in for Michael Herzog. Please check into my website at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for a lot more information on these topics going into more detail into how we arrived at this particular stage; the main forces guiding it working together in unison and how basically they've created a form of mind control over generations. Whole generations and whole continents basically through special scientific indoctrination in education, followed up by mass propaganda in the media.

I go into the authors of even the scientific indoctrinations. Excuse me for a second, I'm closing the door because of the China special going past that brings all the plastic goodies to the main stores across Canada everyday. Check into the websites and see what I have there covering a lot of this information, and show you that nothing has really changed in the techniques of rulership, from the ancient times of the Middle East and the conquests and empire builders that simply built empire after empire and amalgamated them, until the final empire is the global empire. That's the stage we're at today and they're pushing forward. The only thing being there's going to be no real celebration. It's going to be a reign of terror, because without enemies to blame for anything, they must keep control by finding enemies within. The same technique they used within the closed Soviet Union, they had to find terrorists everywhere, and people were

dragged out of their beds in the middle of the night and that was the last you'd see of them if you were their neighbor. That's happening here already, under this present guise of terrorism. Same technique. Why change the formula? It always works. Terrify the public and they stand around like sheep and do what they're told.

Is there any other one on the line there for a call? If you want to phone in you can do so and I'll see if I can answer their questions.

I should note, too, that the United Nations has declared officially that the model state for the world; the model that we're all to be modeled after is China. Now for those who are hard of thinking, China is still officially a Communist country run by a dictatorship; and it was set-up by the West. You can read about that in the books of The Royal Institute of International Affairs, going back to the 1930's. Get their books. They discuss setting China up to be the manufacturer of the world and they also, as Quigley said himself, they don't mind if they use capitalism or communism or dictatorships, they'll use any methods to reach their goal.

China, with it's one-child-policy per family and its heavily regulated system regarding individualism (which is pretty well non-existent there), is the model state for the world, officially approved by the United Nations and all the signatory governments including our own. That's what we have to follow in the near future, that's coming here. Birth control has always been a big problem of the elite. They're terrified that the public will simply breed out of hand, be too many of them and they will lose control over us. They've written about this since the days of -- you'll find it in Thomas Malthus, the great economist for the British Crown in the 1700s. Thomas Malthus was also the economist for the British East India Company. Every major person of nobility had a share in that company that wasn't open to outsiders.

Elihu Yale, who created Yale University, was a member of it. Elihu Yale himself said he was trained at university to make sure that the same system would continue in the Americas, because it would give them their leaders. That's how far back this can go; and people are completely ignorant of it, the old Skull and Bones. The pirate flag because their ancestors were pirates and buccaneers, and plundered and robbed to accumulate great wealth and become the "masters over the masters of the world," as Albert Pike the Great Freemason said himself. It's happened. It's been here for a long time. An ongoing agenda and we're at the final stages of this part of it. They call it "the end of an age." Some people call it "the end of an era."

We've gone from being an industrial west to a technological west. We're now post-industrial, post-technological; and really when you look at it, we have no real function than to simply consume now and borrow and supply military people for the armies that will finish off the standardization of the world. The elite have said themselves in all of their writings, "*they will tolerate no useless eaters.*" They want a world based on efficiency under economics. Now people have been brought up to think that the economic system is there to serve them. It's the other way around according to the elite. You're there to serve their system. I'll be back in a moment. I'm Alan Watt.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and I'm standing in for Michael Herzog. I believe we have

Fred on the phone. Hello. Is Fred there?

Fred: Yes, I'm right here. I did not really understand the statement you made a while back when you said that from the '50's on, the Soviet Union had begun to give incentives to the people in the bureaucracy. That may be true, but I didn't see what followed when you said that that was the start of the crumbling of capitalism. I don't see how.

Alan: No. That was the start of the crumbling of the Soviet system or it's control.

Fred: Right. You said capitalism and it didn't seem to make any sense.

Alan: What I meant was they were emulating capitalism, you see. They were giving out extra rewards to those who helped oversee the public; and the only way they could get those rewards was to hold back monies to the public, so the public were getting poorer while they were forming a middle class within the bureaucracies. That's where it really started to fall within. When the workers started to get less and less pay and poorer conditions, they simply put less work into their actual performance at work.

Fred: Okay. You're making a very clear case and I can see exactly how that's true. Now I want to mention that yesterday on the National Intel Report, a caller from Nebraska brought up something very important as far as our own government, and that is the rise of these agencies, especially ones like the export/import bank, OPEC and these gigantic subsidies that actually violate Adam Smith's own doctrine. In other words, we're the only country that I can see that has the role of basically destroying itself economically. Adam Smith, the "Wealth of Nations" basically teaches the benefit of the individual's own self benefit. The workings of OPEC actually serve to destroy that because people are being taxed in order to destroy the industries that they work in.

Alan: Yes they are. Again, that was done under the agreement for the amalgamation of the Americas, as it was already done for the amalgamation of Europe. They said that those countries that were First World countries would subsidize the lesser countries and give them their hospital care, their education system et cetera, and bring them up. They admitted that as the first countries brought the lower ones up, the first countries will start to submerge down to a certain level, and they'd both meet in-between. Under the amalgamation for the Americas, the United States and Canada had to subsidize all the other Latin American countries up to a certain standard, and at the same time, we dropped down to theirs. That's a done deal. It's signed into their agreements.

Fred: That is so. I find it ironic that first of all, OPEC is relatively old and the export/import bank is even older. I think that goes back to the '30's. The thing I find ironic is that when our political leaders were screaming about the superiority of the free market, these plans were in place to subsidize the destruction of our own economic base. When I said a little bit clumsily but what I meant was with Adam Smith's "*Wealth of Nations*," this does not even fit into that ideal, wherein it doesn't even fit into the socialist ideal. It doesn't fit anywhere except this effort to simply destroy its own system. It doesn't fit into capitalism. It doesn't fit into socialism because there is no other country that's ever tried to do it this way, and OPEC is literally and export/import bank is really subsidizing the hollowing out of

the whole civilization.

Alan: Yes. They've always used the big banks for this too. Britain did the exact same thing when they took over Hong Kong and they created the Bank of Hong Kong to do a similar job. What they really do with these big banks is have agreements with the governments and they also borrow heavily. You see, public/private partnership is what it's all about. For every massive loan they give out, they put you down as a guarantor (the citizen as a guarantor) for that loan. Therefore, they know in advance they're going to write those loans off because they know the recipients can't pay them; but they know you, as a second guarantor, will have to pay them. It's all a big con-game—it's a shell game.

Fred: Alan, one of the biggest issues involved with these public/private partnerships is that they're almost impossible to audit.

Alan: Yes they are. That's written into their charter, an agreement with the government. It started with the Rothschild Bank when they took over the Bank of England, the one condition they made was the government had no right, never would have the right to come in and audit them and go over their books.

Fred: What timeframe was that when they came in and took over the Bank of England?

Alan: In the 1700's.

Fred: And there was no publicity at the time when that happened?

Alan: Yes, there was publicity, but the average person had no power in those days, either, and really no more power than they do today.

Fred: There was some supposed benefits that might have been tossed about to make that change palatable possibly at that time. They said that was either necessary or desirable to do, which is like they're doing now. Something is necessary or desirable, and it may be completely illegal and unnecessary and undesirable. But you only hear that it's necessary and desirable and you don't hear even anything about any change being legal. That is the whole process. It's still going on even now.

Alan: Yes it is. You have to realize to that they characters make their own laws as they go along, as long as they're authorized by the dominant minority; and we do have a dominant minority in every country that's interlinked with each other, above governments. They're not even answerable to governments. Governments are answerable to them. That's the reality of the world we live in—not how we think it's supposed to be, but how it really is.

Fred: Yes that's quite true. I just wanted to bring that up what I had mentioned about the rise of these agencies that is also tied in with the destruction of the economy. That's what I wanted to say.

Alan: There's no doubt they have big plans for vastly reducing the populations of certain countries once their purpose is over. That's why they're simply using them

like a sponge right now and wringing them out. They'll have no use once that's over, and nothing to replace it for.

Fred: Thank you.

Alan: Is Ann still on the line? Hello.

Anne: Hello Alan.

Alan: How are you?

Anne: I'm doing fine and you were sorely missed during your long absence from short-wave. I actually went through a big box of old really scrappy notes looking for your address to find out if you had any books left.

Alan: Yes, I do.

Anne: I love listening to you. What steps would an enlighten person have taken in order to survive, should it turn out to be the case that we cannot fight off this steamroller?

Alan: I've always said that people, if possible, if they can, should try and get out of the big cities, the big present existing big cities because they are to be the new habitat areas where we are all to be corralled and you'll have no ability to feed yourself. Your water is delivered to you. You're dependent on them for everything you need. Those who can get out of the cities should have been doing it, hopefully, even if they live in a very small place and scratch out a living with vegetables or whatever. At least you'll have some way of surviving longer than those who are cramped up in cities and cordoned off with the new Nazi Gestapo.

Anne: That part I've already accomplished. I would also imagine that some sort of system would take hold. Although it's difficult to see what it would be. I would imagine that money wouldn't even be a part of it for a long time, would it?

Alan: It wouldn't eventually. There's no doubt about that. Money is a means to an end by the big boys, as long as we believe in it. We've all been trained to work for it, not for barter. We work for money, then they'll use it to the end. They've already said they're going to replace money with a credit system dished out by the new governments, when the time is right, into an account that everyone will have; and you can't save up those credits. You must use them every week to pay rent because there's to be no private property under the U.N. Habitat Region Treaty, **Agenda 21**. Anyone who disobeys any rules or regulations will have their credits withdrawn, and that's going to be the way of social punishment. Those on the outskirts I'm sure will get a hard time if they're within easy reach. Maybe the further away they are the better; and you'll be the wild ones, the barbarians that Aldous Huxley talked about in "**Brave New World**," and can at least live some kind of semblance of independence in their own lives for some amount of time. That's all we can really hope for as this system comes down.

Anne: Right. You're talking about pure survival?

Alan: It would come down to pure survival. We are under warfare you see right now. This is war on the people. It's total war. It's weather war. We have HAARP going. We have the spraying going. There's an economic war on us. This would be total survival and it all depends which way the wind blows as to what comes out of it. I always tell people don't stop with their own generation. We've got to get the young involved. We've got to train them. We've got to teach them everything we know to keep independent minds; because when the last independent mind is extinguished, it's game over. It's silence for the world and that's their goal.

Anne: Thank you for your time and you answered my question.

Alan: Thank you. So there we are. It's not an easy path. Now most people think they can save the system and I keep telling them the system was never theirs to save. We were just born into one phase of it. It was already controlled by bigger powers. We never really did have a big say in much at all; and even the formalities people had in the U.S., certain rights and freedoms, have been gone by the wayside. The judges and the courts overrule everyone today and snicker even at the people who come in. They're so arrogant and cocksure of themselves. You can't save something which is destined to be broken. It was broken already a long time ago. It's so easy to get people to give up what they have as you spoil them temporarily with a glut of credit cards and easy credit and debt. It's terribly easy to spoil them.

The children who are born right now and get handed a credit card, they think it's always been this way. They don't know any other way. They think the credit taps will be open forever and they can just play and play and play. We've got to tell them the truth; and for every one person you can truly wakeup, when that light turns on, then that's another person that can tell 100 or a 1,000; or perhaps, like myself, maybe thousands more. It has to be done quickly because the world is getting very dark and it becomes very ominous. We have to demand that every person who is in a position above us, in any legal capacity whatsoever, from a school board to your local council, to the guys at the top or even your police chief, we have to demand to know what allegiances they've given, to what organizations they belong. You'll find they're all global already and they follow that agenda, not your constitution, and you've got to get rid of them. You've got to get them out and it has to be done very quickly.

We have the right to know who these people are. You'll find they're all within the same high freemasonic organizations, and all the freemasonic organizations are global too, and they've sworn allegiance to International Brotherhood; and by that, at the top, they don't mean the average Joe. It's an elite at the top and it's an elitist organization. Even the 30th degree and 33rd degree, they call the people the profane, those in the darkness. You know, the commoners, it's just another way of saying the commoners. It's arrogance and elitism. We have to know who these people are, and that goes for male and female. You'll find them both. They belong to these organizations. That has to be a "must be." You have the right to know who they are because you're giving them the right to make laws that are going to affect you. Laws that if you don't obey and you don't agree with won't matter. They'll get their hoods to come in with their Ninja outfits and drag you off to a prison somewhere, yet you vote them in.

The elite count on those in the masses that go along with them. We acquiesce to

their wishes by our silence and we've got to stop it now. Now is the time to stop it. You don't let it get to the end of the road when there's chaos. An occasional person will have their back to the walls, about to lose their home and have conflicts with the police. You try and stop it long before that happens. They have their internal armies trained. They've been training them for over 20 years. It's called a Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force, a combination of military and police and all special training to deal with what they see coming up in the near future, because they know what they're going to pull off in society in the near future. They know the public are going to suffer and will not like it; and they are expecting what they call "flashmobs" to go up.

This is from the British military Department of Defense from their top think tanks. It was published in "***The Guardian***" newspaper a few weeks ago. Look into my website. You get the whole 90 pages of it there. Read it carefully because it's a NATO country, so is the U.S., so is Canada. They all signed this agreement. They foresee 30 years of mayhem, anarchy and chaos coming along as they implement this agenda. Go into it. Study it, and say why would the public retaliate? What have they planned that would make Joe Six Pack retaliate?

Hi folks. I'm back again. I'm Alan Watt. Check into my site at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu filling in for Michael Herzog. I believe we have Dean in Florida on the line. Hello, is Dean there?

Dean: Hey Alan, I just want to tell you have an absolutely wonderful show. I know you don't have too long, so I won't be long. I personally believe you're on the line with Aristotle and Socrates and [Trismagistus]. I mean the knowledge you're putting out is just wonderful but just unbelievable at the same time. It's great. I have a question for you. I believe that a lot of what the elite are using is in their symbology coming from main groups such as Tarot, alchemy, astrology, numerology and divination and the like and their symbology. A couple of questions: Would it behoove us, the non-initiated the profane person to not make that a religion or anything like that but to become well immersed in that? In other words, knowledgeable of that for the purpose of even knowing what enemies are doing against us, how to defeat them by knowing it and what's going on and by ultimately maintaining our own individuality?

Alan: Yeah. The problem is there's so much to learn about it, and there's about 15 false steps to captivate and led you off into the wrong directions along the way, put there on purpose. I will be doing a whole series shortly. It's probably the deepest on the occult ever given out. It will be in fact the deepest in occult symbology and the real, real meanings behind it all, and all the multiple levels of meanings. This hasn't been done before, so check into the site. Keep tuned and I'm going to do a series on this, because it is very important when you understand the symbology, the language that's used amongst the high groups, the very high 360-degree level characters at the top.

Dean: Exactly. It seems like just with the tarot alone they're using what people don't even realize they're calling The Book of Life. I'm hearing that goes back 25,000 years and beyond, the Torah came from that. The Pentateuch, you know the Christians call it that, came from that. Tara, Torah; and it's like, oh my God, you're telling me that all that came from the Torah? This is basic knowledge that

an initiate would know of just a third degree or master. You tell most people that and they think you're the devil.

Alan: We get this symbology all the time. "Tora, Tora, Tora" was the battle cry for the invasion of Pearl Harbor by the aircraft that came over to bomb them; and that's no coincidence either. We get these little things all down through history. I'll be going into all this stuff in the greatest depth that's ever been done anywhere very shortly.

Dean: Outstanding, and once again, thank you so much. You're absolutely wonderful.

Alan: It's a pleasure to talk to you. That's the end of it coming up for today's show, and I'm Alan Watt filling in for Michael Herzog, who I think will be back next week. Please look into my website. It's cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Download as much of this free information as possible and educate yourselves while you have the time. All the best from me and Hamish my dog. Talk to you again.

(Transcribed by Linda)
